

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viriginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/503,205	03,205 02/14/2000		Jun Kogure	826.1590/JDH	6229
21171	7590	12/04/2003		EXAMINER	
STAAS & 1	HALSEY	LLP	KLIMACH, PAULA W		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				2131	(
	•			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			09/503,205	KOGURE, JUN					
			Examiner	Art Unit					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
	The MAII ING DATE of this commu	nication ann	Paula W Klimach	2131					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 August 2000</u> .								
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
6) K j	Claim(s) <u>I– Í0</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)									
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.									
Attachment(s)									
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: line 15 reads "... sores ..." when it should read "... stores ...". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Leppek (5,933,501).

In reference to claims 1 and 8, Leppek suggests a data generating apparatus and computer readable storage medium, comprising: an input device inputting a condition for designating a finite field (column 4 lines 33-51); a generation device automatically generating expression data of the finite field based on the inputted condition (column 4 lines 52-67); and an expression data storage device storing the generated expression data (column 4 lines 7-23).

In reference to claim 9, Leppek suggests a data generating method, comprising: designating a condition for designating a finite field (column 4 lines 33-51); automatically generating expression data of the finite field based on the designated condition (column 4 lines 52-67); and supplying the generated expression data to a finite field operation apparatus (column 4 lines 7-23).

Application/Control Number: 09/503,205

Art Unit: 2131

In reference to claim 10, Leppek suggests a data generating apparatus, comprising: inputting means for inputting a condition for designating a finite field (column 4 lines 33-51); generating means for automatically generating expression data of the finite field based on the inputted condition; and expression data storing means for storing the generated expression data (column 4 lines 52-67).

3. Claims 2-7 are rejected as in claim 1.

Regarding claim 2, further comprising an operation device performing a finite field operation based on the expression data stored in said expression data storage device (column 5 lines 34-52).

Regarding claim 3, wherein when a bit length of a prime number which describes the finite field is inputted as the condition, said generation device automatically generates prime number data corresponding to the bit length and stores the generated prime number data in said expression data storage device. Leppek uses different encryption routines (column 4 lines 14-17) one well known example is the RSA encryption routine, which uses random keys. The size of the keys is a design choice. The keys are inherently developed using a random number generator, which would generate them automatically

Regarding claim 6, further comprising a fixed data storage device storing one or more pieces of predetermined expression data of a finite field (Fig. 2), said generation device stores expression data of a finite field corresponding to the condition in said expression data storage device if there is the expression data of a finite field corresponding to the condition in the fixed data storage device, and said generation device automatically generates expression data of a finite field corresponding to the condition if there is no expression data of a finite field

Application/Control Number: 09/503,205

Art Unit: 2131

corresponding to the condition in the fixed data storage device (column 5 lines 6-18). The generator, of the Leppek system, always constructs the expression from the access code data using the stored information in the fixed storage such as 100.

Regarding claim 7, further comprising: a designation device designating expression data of a finite field (column 5 lines 6-18); and a verifier device verifying whether the designated expression data are suitable, the verifier device sores designated expression data in said expression data storage device if the designated expression data are suitable, and the verifier device asks the designation device for other expression data if the designated expression data are not suitable (claim 5 lines 19-33). The supervisory encryption assembly manager processes the sequence and therefore is responsible for verifying that the encryption process is carried out as designed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leppek as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Wright.

Leppek does not expressly disclose the generation of polynomial expressions

Regarding claim 4, Wright discloses a random polynomial generator wherein when an extension degree which describes the finite field is inputted as the condition, said generation device automatically generates irreducible polynomial data corresponding to the extension

Art Unit: 2131

degree and stores the irreducible polynomial data in said expression data storage device (part 2.1 page 2).

Page 5

Regarding claim 5, The data generating apparatus according to claim 4, wherein when an instruction using an optimal normal basis is inputted, said generation device automatically generates irreducible polynomial data for an optimal normal basis corresponding to the extension degree and the irreducible polynomial data for an optimal normal basis in said expression data storage device. Leppek discloses storing the predetermined expression in storage 100, however Leppek does not expressly disclose the generation of polynomial expressions. Wright discloses the generation of polynomial expressions (part 2.1 page 2).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the polynomial generator as in Wright in the system of Leppek. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because Leppek discloses the use of conventional encryption algorithms (column 4 lines 14-17) and Wright discloses a polynomial generator which is satisfactory and has already been proven (Introduction 1 page 1).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paula W Klimach whose telephone number is (703) 305-8421. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Thr 9:30 a.m to 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (703) 305-9648. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/503,205

Art Unit: 2131

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4832.

PWK

Friday, November 28, 2003

AYAZ SHEIKH

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100